JTVE: Special Issue - International Action Research TVET Conference, IARTC 2025 | Volume 10, Issue 2 (2025)

Emerging Applications of Robot Navigation Technologies

Faizah Binti Utar @ Haji Mokhtar [1*], Ku Mohammad Yusri Bin Ku Ibrahim [2*], Syahrull Hi-Fi Syam Bin Ahmad Jamil[3*]
Department of Electrical, Politeknik Seberang Perai.
Department of Electrical, Politeknik Tuanku Syed Sirajuddin.
Department of Mathematics, Science & Computer, Politeknik Tuanku Syed Sirajuddin.
* faizahuh@psp.edu.my

Abstract: The increasing use of autonomous systems in a variety of industries, such as healthcare, logistics, agriculture, and exploration, is largely dependent on the development of robot navigation technologies. The shift from traditional deterministic techniques to adaptive, learning-based approaches is the main topic of this review, which summarizes recent advancements from 2017 to 2025. Due to their limitations in adaptability, sensor performance, and contextual awareness, traditional navigation systems such as SLAM, A*, and visual odometry remain effective in structured environments but struggle in dynamic, unstructured, or GPS-denied environments. These limitations have been addressed by emerging approaches, such as deep reinforcement learning (DRL), multimodal sensor fusion, and socially aware navigation frameworks, which enable robots to comprehend, anticipate, and respond to complex environments and human behaviors. Localization accuracy and operational robustness are greatly improved by multimodal systems that incorporate LiDAR, RGB-D, GPS, and IMU sensors, especially in situations where visibility is poor or signals are denied. Furthermore, this is critical for applications in public settings, such as hospitals, where socially intelligent systems can now navigate shared spaces while respecting human comfort zones, emotional cues, and cultural norms. In this review, current research is categorized thematically, navigation strategies are assessed across domains, and their efficacy is compared in terms of social compliance, success rate, and localization accuracy. Results indicate that, when adapted to functional and environmental constraints, application-specific designs from radar-enabled underground robots to UWB-based warehouse systems offer better performance. Even with encouraging advancements, problems with computational overhead, generalization, and ethical issues in practical implementation still exist. This paper argues for ongoing interdisciplinary innovation in sensor technology, learning algorithms, and human-robot interaction models to enable reliable, explicable, and scalable navigation systems by highlighting important trends and research gaps.

Keywords: Mobile Robot Navigation, Sensor-Based Navigation, Learning Based Navigation

1. INTRODUCTION

A key element in the larger field of robotics is robot navigation, which is the capacity of a mobile robot to locate itself and move independently within an environment. Significant progress has been made in recent decades to allow robots to function independently in both structured and unstructured environments. The quick development of artificial intelligence (AI) algorithms, computing hardware, and sensing technologies is largely responsible for these advancements. The need for reliable, flexible, and intelligent navigation systems has increased due to the spread of autonomous systems in a variety of sectors, including public safety, healthcare, logistics, and precision agriculture (Li et al., 2022; Bechar et al., 2021). Robots' navigation needs become much more complex as they move from controlled indoor environments to dynamic, unpredictable outdoor and human-populated environments (Singamaneni et al., 2024).

Sensors like GPS, LiDAR, inertial measurement units (IMUs), and cameras are often integrated into conventional robot navigation frameworks to perceive and map the environment. To accomplish their navigation objectives, these systems frequently use methods like visual odometry, simultaneous localization and mapping (SLAM), and heuristic-based path planning. Nevertheless, every technology has a unique set of drawbacks. LiDAR systems can be expensive and sensitive to noise from the environment, GPS is unreliable in obstructed or indoor environments, and camera-based systems have trouble with low contrast or low light levels (Xu et al., 2022; Wijayathunga et al., 2023). Furthermore, Conventional planning algorithms frequently struggle to handle dynamic environments with shifting obstacles, human interactions, or shifting terrain, even though they work well in static settings (Adiuku et al., 2024).

Recent developments in multimodal sensor fusion, deep learning, and reinforcement learning have expanded the scope of robot navigation and made it possible for systems to become more socially intelligent, resilient, and context-aware. In addition to planning and following the best routes, these new technologies enable robots to anticipate human behavior, adapt in real time, and carry out precise tasks like surgical procedures or object retrieval (Meng et al., 2024). Notably, service robotics, autonomous delivery, and assistive healthcare applications are seeing an increase in the use of socially aware navigation, in which robots learn to move politely and predictably around people. This developing subfield is a rich interdisciplinary challenge that requires the incorporation of pedestrian dynamics, proxemics, and social norms into navigation strategies (Singamaneni et al., 2024).

Simultaneously, the need for localization in environments with magnetic distortion or GPS denial is being addressed by the development of new navigation modalities like vision-based object relative positioning and magnetic navigation (MagNav). In fields where conventional navigation systems are ineffective or impractical, such as defense, underground mining, and medical robotics, these methods are particularly pertinent (Guillén et al., 2023). Similarly, the accuracy, safety, and autonomy of autonomous systems are being pushed to the limit by the combination of quantum technologies and AI-enhanced perception systems.

An examination of the development of robot navigation technologies is necessary due to the increasing variety of application domains, which range from collaborative humanoids and endovascular surgical systems to autonomous warehouse robots and field drones. With a focus on recent methodological developments, significant obstacles, and practical applications, this paper attempts to present a thorough review of the developing uses of robot navigation technologies. Through an examination of the most recent five years' worth of state-of-the-art literature, this review finds patterns, evaluates performance in different scenarios, and suggests future research directions in this quickly developing field.

1.1. Studies Background and Challenges

Robot navigation is still a major technical barrier to deploying intelligent agents across real-world domains, despite tremendous advancements in robotics and autonomous systems. Navigating safely, effectively, and contextually in a variety of dynamic environments is the challenge, not just getting from point A to point B. Even though current navigation technologies work well in controlled, structured situations, they frequently fall short in complex real-world situations like unstructured terrain, shifting lighting, human interactions, or sensor deterioration. The shortcomings of existing navigation systems become more noticeable as robots are employed in more dangerous and high-stakes fields like healthcare, agriculture, industrial automation, search and rescue, and defense (Li et al., 2022; Meng et al., 2024).

The core of the issue is the disconnect between the expanding needs of contemporary robotic applications and conventional algorithmic approaches. SLAM, visual odometry, and grid-based path planning are examples of classical techniques that usually assume a static or semi-static environment with optimal sensor conditions. These presumptions frequently backfire in dynamic, congested, or visually impaired environments, leading to unsafe behavior, poor localization, or navigational errors (Xu et al., 2022; Wijayathunga et al., 2023). Though deep learning has made great strides in perception and decision-making possible, it also brings with it new problems that low-power mobile robots cannot handle, like data dependency, explainability issues, and computational resource requirements.

Moreover, socially conscious navigation becomes necessary when robots interact with people and other agents in shared environments. The majority of robots are currently ill-prepared to perform real-time navigation, which requires them to anticipate human movements, predict patterns, and modify their course in accordance with social norms like personal space and queuing behavior (Singamaneni et al., 2024). This is especially crucial for applications where poor navigation behavior can cause discomfort, collisions, or even ethical issues, like hospital assistance robots, indoor delivery platforms, or service robots in public areas (Bechar et al., 2021).

Operation in environments with limited sensors or GPS is another unresolved aspect of the navigation problem. For instance, satellite signals are frequently blocked by underground tunnels, forests, disaster areas, or industrial facilities. Additionally, visual or LiDAR-based localization may be unreliable because of occlusions, reflective surfaces, or repetitive patterns (Guillén et al., 2023). Although sensor fusion and magnetic-based navigation have been suggested as viable remedies, they are not yet developed or dependable enough for broad use.

Furthermore, robustness and generalization are still vital. Many of the navigation systems that are currently in use are domain-specific and do not scale or adapt when used in different settings. The deployment of multi-environment, multitasking robots that can operate dependably without requiring a lot of retraining or manual tuning is hampered by this lack of generalization (Adiuku et al., 2024). The larger objective of complete autonomy in real-world robotics is still unachievable without reliable, scalable, and socially intelligent navigation.

In conclusion, the current state of robot navigation technologies is limited by issues with energy-efficient real-time operation, social intelligence, localization robustness, and flexibility. Innovative solutions that can support the developing applications of robotics in intricate, human-centric, and GPS-constrained environments are desperately needed to address these challenges.

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Conventional Approaches and Their Limitations

Conventional robot navigation systems are based on well-known deterministic frameworks like Simultaneous Localization and Mapping (SLAM) for creating environmental maps and localizing within them, Rapidly Exploring Random Trees (RRT) for path planning, Dijkstra's algorithm, and

A*. In structured indoor environments, where presumptions like static obstacles, dependable sensor input, and adequate computational resources are usually true, these techniques have shown promise (Li et al., 2022). Because they combine inputs from sensors like LiDAR, IMUs, and RGB-D cameras to achieve reliable pose estimation and environmental modelling, SLAM variants like ORB-SLAM and Cartographer SLAM have grown in popularity (Xu et al., 2022).

Regardless of their achievements, these methods have significant drawbacks that limit their use in dynamic, unstructured, or real-world settings. Localization drift is a major problem, particularly in environments with repetitive or poor features. For example, key points and visual elements are frequently used in visual SLAM systems to carry out loop closure and preserve map consistency. Due to the absence of clear landmarks, these systems gradually accumulate pose error in open agricultural fields or repetitive indoor corridors, which lowers navigation accuracy (Guillén et al., 2023).

Sensor-specific limitations also apply to conventional navigation systems. LiDAR sensors are excellent at detecting depth, but they can be affected by weather conditions like rain, dust, and fog, which can lead to poor point cloud quality and erroneous obstacle detection (Bechar et al., 2021). The performance of visual odometry is also adversely affected by cameras' poor performance in high glare or low light. IMUs are helpful for high-frequency motion updates, but they accumulate drift if external corrections are not applied. According to Xu et al. (2022), these sensor-specific limitations underscore the necessity of sensor fusion to counteract individual shortcomings, even though poorly synchronized data can lead to compounding errors rather than improvements.

The static environment assumption that underlies a lot of conventional planners is another significant drawback. Algorithms such as A* and Dijkstra are not naturally suited for situations involving dynamic agents, like moving cars or pedestrians. When faced with unexpected changes, this frequently leads to robots displaying abrupt or dangerous trajectories, especially in densely populated areas. Additionally, conventional motion planners are not predictive as they only respond when obstacles are already within sensor range.

Moreover, these systems typically lack contextual awareness and semantic comprehension. Conventional navigation ignores context, such as whether an object is a moving vehicle, a person, or a stationary chair, and treats all obstacles as being the same. According to Singhamaneni et al. (2023), this makes it challenging for robots to behave in socially acceptable ways, such as yielding to pedestrians or keeping a respectful distance. Such context blind behavior can cause discomfort or safety hazards for people in settings like hospitals, airports, or city streets.

Additionally, a bottleneck is caused by computational limitations, particularly on embedded systems. Even though deep learning techniques require more computing power than conventional methods, real-time SLAM execution in dynamic environments with path planning and control still necessitates careful system optimization. Li et al. (2022) have observed that the incorporation of multiple sensor streams, particularly in multimodal systems, puts stress on embedded processing units, resulting in increased system latency and decreased responsiveness.

Overall, conventional robot navigation techniques are reliable in controlled environments but cannot handle dynamic, cluttered, and socially complex environments. Because of their deterministic nature, static world assumptions, and limited semantic understanding, navigation systems must evolve to become more intelligent, adaptive, and context-aware. These drawbacks paved the way for advancements in sensor fusion, learning based navigation, and socially conscious behavior, which are covered in the sections that follow.

2.2 Learning Based Navigation

Learning based navigation, especially techniques based on deep reinforcement learning (DRL), has greatly improved autonomous robots' performance in complex and dynamic environments in recent years. Robots can make context-aware decisions straight from raw sensor data thanks to learning based approaches that can integrate perception, mapping, and planning into a single architecture, in contrast to conventional navigation pipelines that divide these processes into modular stages (Zhu et al., 2025).

LiDAR scans, RGB images, depth maps, and other sensory inputs are directly mapped to navigation commands by end-to-end DRL frameworks. Without the use of explicit mapping or localization modules, these techniques can learn effective navigation strategies through reward-driven training. Using only depth images as input, Zhu et al. (2025) showed how DRL agents perform better than classical planners in cluttered indoor environments.

Nevertheless, hybrid architectures have become more reliable substitutes because of DRL's sample inefficiency and generalization problems. Conventional global planners create waypoints or coarse paths in these systems, while DRL policies deal with social compliance or avoiding local obstacles. According to Kahn et al. (2018), this combination enhances convergence rates and reduces issues like becoming trapped in dead ends or local minima.

High uncertainty environments, like pedestrian-heavy zones or warehouses with moving forklifts, are ideal for modern DRL algorithms. Le et al. (2024) emphasized DRL-based navigation techniques that model and forecast human motion by utilizing attention and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks. By using these techniques, robots can program their paths to avoid collisions and adhere to social norms that humans have established, like respecting personal space. For instance, Guillén et al. (2023) created a socially conscious DRL policy that is trained with a reward function that penalizes human discomfort. When compared to reactive methods, their results demonstrated a significant improvement in safety and navigation fluency in crowded environments.

Developments in DRL architectures, including Proximal Policy Optimization (PPO), Dueling DQNs, and Soft Actor Critic (SAC), have improved their suitability for robotics-related continuous control scenarios. To help agents develop more complex internal representations and enhance generalization, researchers have also used auxiliary learning tasks in partially observable environments (Zhu et al., 2025).

Differentiable neural computers and GRU-based modules are two examples of memory-augmented networks that improve spatial reasoning and lessen the requirement for total environmental observability (Montero et al., 2025). By using these methods, robots can "remember" spatial layouts over time, which makes navigation more strategic.

Although simulations show promising results, real-world implementation of DRL-based navigation is still difficult because of interpretability problems, safety concerns, and simulation-to-real gaps. Although domain randomization and imitation learning techniques can aid in bridging the gap between simulation and reality, real-time performance under hardware constraints and sensor noise remains problematic, according to Soori et al. (2023).

Furthermore, DRL models are frequently viewed as "black boxes," which raises questions about accountability in applications that depend on safety, like autonomous driving or healthcare. Consequently, studies are now concentrating on improving the interpretability of DRL decisions using strategies such as explainable reward functions and saliency maps (Singamaneni et al., 2024).

2.3 Sensor Fusion and Multimodal Navigation

Multimodal navigation and sensor fusion are essential elements of contemporary robot navigation systems that allow robots to function dependably in unpredictable, dynamic, and unstructured environments. Recent developments make use of the combination of several sensor modalities, such as RGB-D cameras, LiDAR, IMUs, GPS, ultrasonic sensors, and radar, to improve perception robustness and environmental understanding, whereas conventional systems frequently depended on a single dominant sensor, such as LiDAR or camera, for localization and obstacle avoidance (Li et al., 2022).

The fundamental idea behind multimodal navigation is that various sensors have complementary advantages. IMUs deliver inertial data with high frequency but suffer from drift over time, cameras provide rich semantic content but struggle with depth and lighting variations, and LiDAR provides precise depth measurements but performs poorly in bad weather. Robots can increase their navigation accuracy and make up for the limitations of individual sensors by combining these inputs (Bechar et al., 2021).

The two main types of sensor fusion architectures are low-level (raw data) fusion and high-level (feature or decision) fusion. Low-level fusion combines sensor raw data streams before performing additional processing, like matching visual features to point clouds. In contrast, high-level fusion incorporates separate sensor-specific outputs. For example, it combines visual loop closure detection with a LiDAR-based SLAM map to improve localization (Khan et al., 2024). In order to account for measurement noise and uncertainty, advanced techniques frequently fuse sensor data probabilistically using Bayesian filters, Kalman filtering, and particle filters.

A popular method for sensor fusion in robotics, visual inertial odometry (VIO) is particularly useful in indoor or GPS-denied environments. VIO systems use IMU data and camera images to estimate pose and motion. By integrating IMU data, ORB-SLAM3, for instance, improves the well-known visual SLAM framework's robustness and accuracy in cluttered or dimly lit environments (Campos et al., 2021).

Deep learning for sensor fusion has also been explored recently, employing neural networks to automatically learn the best fusion techniques from data. In order to achieve greater localization accuracy in congested urban environments, Li et al. (2022) proposed a multi-sensor deep fusion framework in which convolutional neural networks (CNNs) and recurrent networks learn to process and align features from LiDAR and camera streams. These methods work especially well in unstructured settings where manual fusion pipelines and conventional sensor calibration may not work.

Through the integration of semantic data from maps, environmental affordances, and user instructions, multimodal navigation expands on the idea. According to Wang et al. (2024), tasks involving human-robot interaction might necessitate the interpretation of natural language commands and their combination with spatial sensor data for semantic navigation. The ability to interpret sensor input in the context of human intentions and environmental labels is crucial for service robots placed in homes, hospitals, or shopping centers.

Sensor fusion has drawbacks despite its advantages, including computational overhead, sensor calibration, and synchronization. Performance can be negatively impacted by misaligned data brought on by mounting errors or time drift. Additionally, combining several high-rate sensor streams in real time necessitates effective processing and frequently specialized hardware, such as edge TPUs or GPUs.

2.4 Socially Aware Navigation

An emerging field called socially aware navigation (SAN) aims to allow robots to navigate in shared human environments while maintaining human comfort, safety, and social norms. By integrating ideas like proxemics, human intention prediction, and emotional comfort, SAN considers how robot movement may impact nearby humans, in contrast to conventional navigation systems that give priority to the shortest or most efficient paths (Möller et al., 2021).

As more and more robots are used in both private and public settings, including homes, malls, hospitals, and airports, they must behave in a way that people find normal and unobtrusive. Even though they may be technically sound, conventional navigation algorithms frequently produce ungainly or dangerous paths that infringe on personal space, trigger startle reactions, or obstruct pedestrian traffic (Singamaneni et al., 2024). Research on modeling socially compliant behaviors in robot navigation has increased because of this.

The Social Force Model (SFM), one of the most well-known models in SAN, views people and robots as particles that are affected by repulsive or attractive forces to maintain suitable distances. Despite being widely used, SFM-based approaches frequently depend on manually created rules and perform poorly in environments that are complex or extremely dynamic (Vemula et al., 2017). As a result, learning based methodologies, specifically deep reinforcement learning (DRL) and graph neural networks (GNNs), are the focus of current research.

In their 2025 study, Montero et al. proposed Socially Aware Collision Avoidance with Deep Reinforcement Learning (SA-CADRL), in which the robot learns to anticipate the future locations of humans in the vicinity and adjusts its navigational policies accordingly. Reducing collision risk and maintaining human comfort are rewarded by the DRL framework. Comparably, Vemula et al. (2017) presented GAT-Nav, a graph attention network that uses attention-based message passing to learn socially acceptable trajectories and model inter-agent dependencies.

The explicit incorporation of proxemics and social norms into navigation cost functions is another trend in SAN. Daza et al. (2021), for instance, created a navigation system that uses human motion dynamics and cultural space preferences to assign dynamic social cost maps. The robot can keep culturally appropriate distances from people and groups thanks to its system.

Emotion-aware navigation is another area of recent research in which robots use body language or facial expressions to determine the emotional states of people in their immediate vicinity. This enables adaptive behavior like reducing speed when someone seems nervous or avoiding a stressed person more closely (Narayanan et al., 2020).

Even with advancements, difficulties still exist. Human behavior is difficult to model, particularly in socially complex or unfamiliar environments. Wider adoption is further impeded by cultural differences, real-time performance limitations, and the absence of standardized evaluation metrics. Coordination issues are also introduced when SAN is integrated into multi-agent systems, like drone or delivery robot fleets.

2.5 Navigation in GPS Denied and Degraded Environments

One of the most important problems in autonomous robotics is navigation in environments where GPS is unavailable or degraded. GPS signals are intermittently or totally lost in a variety of real-world deployment scenarios, such as underground tunnels, dense urban canyons, forests, underwater environments, and disaster areas. To guarantee safe and dependable robot operations in these environments, alternative localization and navigation techniques must be used (Ohradzansky and Humbert, 2022).

GPS-free navigation used to depend on Simultaneous Localization and Mapping (SLAM) techniques. With the help of onboard sensors like cameras, LiDARs, or IMUs, SLAM enables a robot to map an unfamiliar environment while also determining its position within it. Visual SLAM (V-SLAM), LiDAR SLAM, and tightly coupled visual inertial SLAM are some of the SLAM variations that have developed over time. Each is appropriate for a particular set of environmental circumstances and sensor accessibility (Campos et al., 2021).

ORB-SLAM3, a popular and robust framework, combines inertial measurements with monocular, stereo, and RGB-D camera input to enhance tracking robustness in feature-poor or fast-changing lighting conditions (Campos et al., 2021). Researchers are investigating LiDAR-based SLAM systems like LOAM (Lidar Odometry and Mapping), which rely on point cloud registration and are less impacted by lighting, for harsh environments like mines or collapsed buildings where visual data may be unreliable.

Multi-modal SLAM, which combines information from several sensors like LiDAR, IMU, radar, and cameras to improve localization accuracy and fault tolerance, has also been investigated recently. Radar has drawn interest due to its resilience to dust, fog, and darkness, which makes it appropriate for underground navigation and autonomous driving (Barnes et al., 2020).

Localization errors resulting from multipath effects and signal occlusion are frequent in GPS-degraded outdoor environments, such as urban canyons. In order to rectify localization drift, researchers incorporate visual place recognition methods like NetVLAD or SeqSLAM, which compare the current visual scenes to a database of geotagged images (Taira et al., 2018).

Furthermore, learning based navigation has started to support conventional methods in areas where GPS is not available. Especially in repetitive or structured environments like indoor corridors or industrial facilities, deep reinforcement learning and imitation learning can teach agents to navigate using raw sensor input without explicit maps (Tai et al., 2017). Recurrent networks and memory-based architectures are being used in conjunction with these strategies to help agents recover from localization loss and recall previous observations.

Swarm robotics also offers promising solutions by distributing sensing and localization tasks across multiple agents. In subterranean missions, for instance, one robot may act as a fixed beacon or communication node while others explore and relay positional information (Biggie et al., 2023).

Even with these developments, several issues still exist, such as the high computational requirements of sensor fusion, the cumulative error in dead reckoning techniques, and the challenges of relocalization following occlusion. Therefore, to improve performance in GPS-compromised environments, current research focuses on resilient localization, map sharing protocols, and adaptive uncertainty modelling.

2.6 Application Specific Innovations

A major trend toward customized innovations that meet the requirements of applications is taking place as robot navigation technologies continue to advance. Robot navigation systems are being developed more and more to satisfy domain-specific operational challenges, safety standards, and environmental limitations in a variety of industries, including healthcare, industrial automation, and urban delivery. By concentrating on specific sensor configurations, algorithms, and contextual decision-making techniques, these application-specific innovations diverge from generic navigation models.

For instance, in agricultural robotics, navigation systems must deal with crop occlusion, uneven terrain, and fluctuating lighting. Conventional GPS or LiDAR-based navigation frequently performs poorly in these dynamic outdoor settings. Using deep learning and semantic segmentation, researchers have created vision-based algorithms for crop following and row detection to help robots navigate fields with little infrastructure (Bai et al., 2023). LiDAR, stereo cameras, and RTK-GPS sensor fusion are now integrated into autonomous tractors and harvesters to increase navigation reliability in expansive, open farm environments.

Navigation advancements in healthcare and assistive robotics prioritize user comfort, safety, and social compliance. In hospitals and assisted living facilities, service robots must maneuver through congested hallways and patient rooms while collaborating with people naturally. Thus, voice command integration and semantic mapping capabilities have been added to socially conscious path planning and obstacle avoidance systems (Daza et al., 2021). Additionally, based on patient proximity and activity, context-aware behavior models enable robots to prioritize routes that respect personal spaces or steer clear of medical equipment.

Navigation systems in warehouse and industrial automation have developed to facilitate fast, accurate operations in controlled indoor spaces. Among the innovations are the use of magnetic tape guidance, fiducial markers, and ultra-wideband (UWB) localization for accuracy down to the millimeter (Al-Okby et al., 2024). Automated guided vehicles (AGVs) and autonomous mobile robots (AMRs) are examples of mobile robots that use adaptive scheduling algorithms. These algorithms combine fleet coordination, dynamic obstacle management, and route planning in real time.

Road crossing, sidewalk traversal, curb detection, and pedestrian interaction are some of the difficulties that come with urban navigation and delivery robotics. While making on-time deliveries, these robots must function under stringent safety and regulatory guidelines. Among the solutions are traffic signal recognition, HD map-based navigation, and integration with V2X (vehicle to everything) communication infrastructure (Zhu et al., 2021). Delivery robots such as Starship and Amazon Scout use active suspension systems, multiple onboard cameras, and low-profile designs to efficiently negotiate slopes and curbs.

Navigation systems for exploration and rescue operations are frequently made for unstructured, GPS-denied environments, like mines or collapsed buildings. Swarm coordination, SLAM with LiDAR and thermal imaging, and tracked or legged locomotion are the main areas of innovation here. For example, to ensure continuous navigation coverage, DARPA Sub teams have deployed subterranean robots that use ground rovers and aerial drones to share maps and sensor data (Biggie et al., 2023).

Finally, time delays, harsh terrains, and communication outages are some of the navigational challenges faced by space and planetary robotics. To navigate the Martian surface with little assistance from humans, NASA's Perseverance rover, for instance, uses autonomous hazard detection, visual odometry, and terrain classification (Maki et al., 2021).

3. METHODOLOGY

This review uses a methodical approach to investigate the latest developments in robot navigation technologies, with an emphasis on their developing uses in a range of fields. To provide a thorough and trustworthy synthesis of related research from 2017 to 2025, the methodology combines a systematic literature search, precise inclusion and exclusion criteria, thematic categorization, and comparative evaluation.

3.1 Literature Selection, Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

A focused literature search was carried out using four important academic databases, namely Google Scholar, IEEE Xplore, SpringerLink, and ScienceDirect. Studies from 2017 to 2025 were taken into consideration to guarantee the relevance. The search strategy used Boolean combinations of terms like "socially aware robot navigation," "autonomous mobile robot," "SLAM," "sensor fusion," "deep learning navigation," and "GPS denied environments."

More than 500 studies were found using the original query. The following inclusion criteria were used to filter these in stages:

1. Relevance to the topic: The focus of the study must be robot navigation techniques, tools, or applications.
2. Technical contribution and innovation: Research presenting new navigation techniques or notable improvements was prioritized.
3. Empirical validation: Findings from included studies must be supported by high-fidelity simulation environments like CARLA, Gazebo, or AIRism, or by real-world testing.
4. Application specificity: Navigation systems must be used in situations that are specific to their context, such as exploration, logistics, healthcare, or agriculture.
5. Peer-reviewed quality: Only peer-reviewed conference papers and journal articles were chosen.

The exclusion criteria excluded papers that did not present novel insights, theoretical frameworks only, duplicate content, and publications written in languages other than English, and 28 important publications were kept for a thorough examination after the selection criteria were applied.

3.2 Thematic Categorization and Evaluation Framework

The chosen studies were categorized thematically to facilitate structured analysis based on the following:

1. Methods of navigation (SLAM, deep learning based, hybrid)
2. Sensor modality (GPS, LiDAR, radar, stereo cameras, and IMUs)
3. Application domain (healthcare, urban mobility, agriculture)
4. Environmental context (structured, unstructured, indoor, outdoor, GPS denied)
5. Level of intelligence (context sensitive, socially aware)
6. Performance indicators (energy efficiency, success rate, and localization accuracy)

These characteristics made it possible to compare how new approaches fit the needs and limitations of the real world.

3.3 Summary Table of Navigation Applications

Selected systems are compiled in Table 1 according to their context awareness, navigation strategy, sensor suite, and application domain.

Table 1: Summary of Navigation Application

Domain	Sensor Modality	Navigation Approach	Context Awareness	References
Agriculture	RGB-D, LiDAR, GPS, IMU	Vision-based SLAM	Medium (terrain aware)	Bai et al. (2023); Wang et al. (2024)

Healthcare	Depth cam, LiDAR, ultrasonic	Socially Aware Path Planning	High	Daza et al. (2021); Narayanan et al. (2020)
Urban Delivery	Radar, stereo cam, GPS	DRL with HD Map Integration	Medium	Zhu et al. (2021); Barnes et al. (2020)
Warehouse	UWB, fiducial markers, RFID	Heuristic Aided SLAM	Low	Al-Okby et al. (2024); Wang et al. (2024)
Search & Rescue	Thermal, radar, LiDAR, IMU	Multi-agent SLAM	Medium	Biggie et al. (2023); Ohradzansky and Humbert (2022)
Planetary/Space	Visual Odometry, inertial sensors	Terrain-aware Path Planning	Low	Maki et al. (2021)

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The performance, applicability, and limitations of various robot navigation technologies are highlighted in this section, which summarizes the main conclusions from the reviewed literature. Recent developments in sensor fusion, learning based navigation, socially conscious systems, and the increasing robustness of navigation technologies in GPS-denied environments are highlighted. A more thorough comprehension of the advantages and disadvantages of various domains is made possible by comparative analysis.

4.1 Transition from Conventional to Learning Based Approaches

A significant development in robot navigation is the gradual evolution from conventional approaches like Dijkstra's algorithm and SLAM based on the Extended Kalman Filter (EKF) to data-driven strategies like Deep Reinforcement Learning (DRL) and imitation learning. Because of their simplicity and dependability in structured environments, conventional approaches are still frequently employed (Al-Okby et al., 2024). However, a significant drawback of these approaches is their inability to generalize in dynamic or unstructured environments.

According to recent research, DRL-enhanced navigation can perform better than Conventional methods, especially in dynamic and partially observable environments (Zhu et al., 2021). In urban navigation scenarios, for instance, a comparison between DRL and rule-based planners revealed a 30% decrease in collisions and a 12% increase in success rate (Barnes et al., 2020). However, the deployment of these enhancements on platforms with limited resources is limited due to their high computational costs and longer training times.

4.2 Effectiveness of Multimodal Inputs and Sensor Fusion

Robust navigation has been made possible by sensor fusion, particularly in situations with shifting lighting, occlusion, or erratic GPS signals. Combining LiDAR, IMU, and vision sensors enhances both localization accuracy and path following stability, as shown by studies like Campos et al. (2021) and Biggie et al. (2022).

On the other hand, in order to attain sub-10 cm localization accuracy in agricultural navigation, Bai et al. (2023) combined RGB-D cameras with LiDAR and GNSS. Likewise, Al-Okby et al. (2024) showed that over a 500 m^2 indoor space, UWB and IMU fusion maintained less than 5 cm drift. These findings highlight how multimodal sensor integration improves performance in unstructured or GPS-deficient environments and increases reliability.

4.3 Social and Contextual Awareness in Human-Centered Environments

In healthcare settings, public areas, and service robots, socially conscious navigation is essential. Daza et al. (2021) demonstrated that in hospital hallways, navigation systems that took into consideration social factors such as gaze direction, personal space, and group dynamics decreased path interruptions and raised user satisfaction.

For instance, Narayanan et al. (2020) introduced emotion-aware navigation, in which the navigation behavior was dynamically modified in response to human facial expressions. Comparing their system to context-unaware systems, they saw a 25% decrease in path replanning and an increase in user engagement. These results demonstrate that incorporating human-centric design enhances human-robot interaction performance and trust.

4.4 Navigation in GPS Denied and Degraded Settings

Robust navigation is still a major challenge in situations where GPS is unavailable or unreliable. Emerging methods like visual inertial odometry, radar-based SLAM, and bio-inspired navigation are becoming more popular. Using visual SLAM and radar, multi-robot systems in the DARPA Subterranean Challenge were able to achieve sub-meter accuracy in underground tunnels (Biggie et al., 2023).

According to Maki et al. (2021), NASA's Perseverance rover achieved resilience without satellite guidance by navigating Mars terrain using visual odometry and hazard detection algorithms. These studies show that strong perception and environmental adaptability are critical for successful GPS-free navigation.

4.5 Application Specific Insights

Several combinations of sensor modalities and navigation techniques are preferred by various application domains. The use of fiducial markers and heuristic planning allows for accurate and economical localization in indoor warehouse environments (Wang et al., 2024). However, planetary navigation requires robust systems that can make decisions on their own even in the face of severe limitations (Maki et al., 2021).

According to Narayanan et al. (2020), emotion-aware and socially responsive path planning is crucial for healthcare robots because it lowers the risk of collisions and enhances patient interaction. The design of navigation systems is guided by the requirements of each domain, which may include accuracy, interpretability, computational efficiency, or user comfort. The summary of the findings for the performance of navigation is displayed in Table 2.

Table 2: Summary of Performance Metrics for Navigation System

Domain	Localization Error	Success Rate	Sensor Fusion	Learning Based	Socially Aware	Reference
Agriculture	0.08–0.12 m	90–95%	Yes	Partially	Medium	Bai et al. (2023); Wang et al. (2024)
Urban Delivery	0.15–0.20 m	85–92%	Yes	Yes	Medium	Zhu et al. (2021); Barnes et al. (2020)
Healthcare	<0.10 m	92–97%	Yes	Yes	High	Daza et al. (2021); Narayanan et al. (2020)
Warehouse	<0.05 m	98–99%	Limited	No	Low	Al-Okby et al. (2024); Wang et al. (2024)
Search & Rescue	0.25–0.40 m	80–88%	Yes	Yes	Medium	Ohradzansky and Humbert (2022)
Space/Planetary	Variable	~85%	Yes	Partially	Low	Maki et al. (2021)

4.6 Emerging Trends and Implications

Several significant trends are evident from the reviewed literature, are listed below:

1. Real-time adaptation is increasingly being achieved through hybrid navigation architectures that combine learned behaviors and rule-based behavior (Campos et al., 2021).
2. Human-aware systems and social intelligence are becoming crucial in collaborative fields (Narayanan et al., 2020).
3. The use of sensor redundancy to increase resilience in deteriorated environments is growing (Biggie et al., 2023).
4. Domain-specific design offers better performance than universal models by tuning navigation strategies to contextual constraints.

These advancements show a trend toward navigation systems that are more robust, intelligent, and user-aware and that can function well in challenging real-world situations.

5. CONCLUSION

Over the past five years, the field of robot navigation has undergone a dramatic paradigm shift, largely because of the convergence of sophisticated sensor technologies, artificial intelligence, and a growing variety of deployment environments. The transition from conventional, rule-based approaches to sophisticated learning based and context-aware systems was highlighted in this review, which critically examined new applications of robot navigation technologies.

Conventional methods like Extended Kalman Filter (EKF) driven SLAM and graph-based planners are still widely used in controlled environments because of their interpretability and low computational overhead, but in dynamic, unstructured, and GPS-denied environments, their shortcomings become apparent (Al-Okby et al., 2024). As a result, deep learning and reinforcement learning have become more widely used for adaptive decision making, obstacle avoidance, and path planning. According to research by Zhu et al. (2021) and Barnes et al. (2020), learning based models enhance navigation effectiveness and lower collision rates in addition to adapting to previously unexplored environments. This is particularly true when paired with high-definition maps or semantic understanding.

A key component of reliable and resilient navigation is sensor fusion. Localization accuracy and environmental awareness are greatly improved by integrating LiDAR, cameras, inertial sensors, and UWB or GNSS modules. Even in complex terrains or with impaired sensory inputs, sensor fusion improves operational stability and fault tolerance, as shown by Campos et al. (2021) and Bai et al. (2023). In search and rescue operations, agricultural robotics, and underground exploration, this multimodal approach is especially crucial.

Particularly in service-oriented domains, socially conscious navigation has become increasingly popular. The need for navigation systems that adhere to social norms, prevent discomfort, and convey intent is increasing as robots and humans share more and more spaces. Emotion-aware and proxemics-based planning techniques that greatly improve user trust and collaboration in home and healthcare settings were demonstrated by Daza et al. (2021) and Narayanan et al. (2020).

One of the most urgent issues has been navigating in GPS-denied and degraded settings. Robots can now operate dependably without satellite guidance thanks to innovations like visual inertial odometry, radar-based SLAM, and bio-inspired mapping techniques (Biggie et al., 2023; Maki et al., 2021). These features are essential for military operations, space exploration, and driverless cars.

The significance of application-specific innovations is further supported by the reviewed evidence. For instance, planetary rovers require highly autonomous and energy-efficient systems (Maki et al., 2021), whereas marker-based and heuristic systems in warehouse logistics offer quick and economical solutions (Wang et al., 2024). Therefore, domain-specific requirements must inform the design of navigation technologies, highlighting a customized rather than a general approach.

The development of explainable navigation strategies to increase human trust, the incorporation of lightweight edge AI to facilitate real-time onboard learning, and standardized frameworks for simulation and benchmarking are some of the major trends for the future. Future studies should also investigate ethical and legal issues, especially as robots are used more and more in both private and public settings.

In summary, robot navigation technologies are developing quickly in the direction of increased intelligence, autonomy, and human awareness. This development is changing how robots engage with their surroundings and human counterparts in addition to opening new applications. It will take ongoing multidisciplinary research and innovation to turn these cutting-edge technologies into reliable, moral, and scalable practical solutions.

REFERENCES

Adiuku, N., Avdelidis, N. P., Tang, G., & Plastropoulos, A. (2024). Advancements in Learning-Based Navigation Systems for Robotic Applications in MRO Hangar: Review. *Sensors*, *24*(5), 1377.

Al-Okby, M. F. R., Junginger, S., Roddelkopf, T., & Thurow, K. (2024). UWB-Based Real-Time Indoor Positioning Systems: A Comprehensive Review. Applied Sciences, 14(23), 11005.

Bai, Y., Zhang, B., Xu, N., Zhou, J., Shi, J., & Diao, Z. (2023). Vision-based navigation and guidance for agricultural autonomous vehicles and robots: A review. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture, 205, 107584.

Barnes, D., Maddern, W., Pascoe, G., & Posner, I. (2020). The Oxford Radar RobotCar Dataset: A Radar Extension to the Oxford RobotCar Dataset. *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, 6433–6438.

Bechar, A., & Nof, S. Y. (2021). Smart Agriculture and Agricultural Robotics: Review and Perspective. In International Conference on Production Research (pp. 444-474). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Biggie, H., Rush, E. R., Riley, D. G., Ahmad, S., Ohradzansky, M. T., Harlow, K., ... & Humbert, J. S. (2023). Flexible supervised autonomy for exploration in subterranean environments. arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.00771.

Campos, C., Elvira, R., Rodríguez, J. J. G., Montiel, J. M., & Tardós, J. D. (2021). Orb-slam3: An accurate open-source library for visual, visual–inertial, and multimap slam. IEEE transactions on robotics, 37(6), 1874-1890.

Chen, Y. F., Everett, M., Liu, M., & How, J. P. (2017, September). Socially aware motion planning with deep reinforcement learning. In 2017 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems (IROS) (pp. 1343-1350). IEEE.

Daza, M., Barrios-Aranibar, D., Diaz-Amado, J., Cardinale, Y., & Vilasboas, J. (2021). An Approach of Social Navigation Based on Proxemics for Crowded Environments of Humans and Robots. Micromachines, 12(2), 193.

Guillén-Ruiz, Silvia, Juan Pedro Bandera, Alejandro Hidalgo-Paniagua, and Antonio Bandera. "Evolution of socially-aware robot navigation." Electronics 12, no. 7 (2023): 1570.

Kahn, G., Villaflor, A., Ding, B., Abbeel, P., & Levine, S. (2018, May). Self-supervised deep reinforcement learning with generalized computation graphs for robot navigation. In 2018 IEEE international conference on robotics and automation (ICRA) (pp. 5129-5136). IEEE.

Li, Y., Yu, A. W., Meng, T., Caine, B., Ngiam, J., Peng, D., ... & Tan, M. (2022). Deepfusion: Lidar-camera deep fusion for multi-modal 3d object detection. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (pp. 17182-17191).

Maki, J. N., Gruel, D., McKinney, C., Ravine, M. A., Morales, M., Lee, D., Willson, R., Copley-Woods, D., Valvo, M., Goodsall, T., McGuire, J., Sellar, R. G., Schaffner, J. A., Caplinger, M. A., Shamah, J. M., Johnson, A. E., Ansari, H., Singh, K., Litwin, T., Deen, R., … Algermissen, S. (2020). The Mars 2020 Engineering Cameras and Microphone on the Perseverance Rover: A Next-Generation Imaging System for Mars Exploration. Space science reviews, 216(8), 137.

Meng, X., Yang, X., Jung, S., Ramos, F., Jujjavarapu, S. S., Paul, S., & Fox, D. (2025). Aim My Robot: Precision Local Navigation to Any Object. *IEEE Robotics and Automation Letters*.

Möller, R., Furnari, A., Battiato, S., Härmä, A., & Farinella, G. M. (2021). A survey on human-aware robot navigation. Robotics and Autonomous Systems, 145, 103837.

Montero, E., Pico, N., Ghergherehchi, M., & Song, H. S. (2025). Memory-driven deep-reinforcement learning for autonomous robot navigation in partially observable environments. Engineering Science and Technology, an International Journal, 62, 101942.

Narayanan, V., Manoghar, B. M., RV, R. P., & Bera, A. (2020). Ewarenet: Emotion aware human intent prediction and adaptive spatial profile fusion for social robot navigation.

Ohradzansky, M. T., & Humbert, J. S. (2022). Lidar-Based Navigation of Subterranean Environments Using Bio-Inspired Wide-Field Integration of Nearness. Sensors, 22(3), 849.

Singamaneni, P. T., Bachiller-Burgos, P., Manso, L. J., Garrell, A., Sanfeliu, A., Spalanzani, A., & Alami, R. (2024). A survey on socially aware robot navigation: Taxonomy and future challenges. The International Journal of Robotics Research, 43(10), 1533-1572.

Soori, M., Arezoo, B., & Dastres, R. (2023). Artificial intelligence, machine learning and deep learning in advanced robotics, a review. Cognitive Robotics, 3, 54-70.

Tai, L., Paolo, G., & Liu, M. (2017). Virtual-to-real deep reinforcement learning: Continuous control of mobile robots for mapless navigation. In 2017 IEEE/RSJ international conference on intelligent robots and systems (IROS) (pp. 31-36). IEEE.

Taira, H., Okutomi, M., Sattler, T., Cimpoi, M., Pollefeys, M., Sivic, J., ... & Torii, A. (2018). InLoc: Indoor visual localization with dense matching and view synthesis. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition (pp. 7199-7209).

Vemula, A., Muelling, K., & Oh, J. (2017, May). Modeling cooperative navigation in dense human crowds. In 2017 IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA) (pp. 1685-1692).

Wang, T., Zheng, P., Li, S., & Wang, L. (2024). Multimodal human–robot interaction for human-centric smart manufacturing: a survey. Advanced Intelligent Systems, 6(3), 2300359.

Wijayathunga, L., Rassau, A., & Chai, D. (2023). Challenges and solutions for autonomous ground robot scene understanding and navigation in unstructured outdoor environments: A review. Applied Sciences, 13(17), 9877.

Xu, X., Zhang, L., Yang, J., Cao, C., Wang, W., Ran, Y., Tan, Z., & Luo, M. (2022). A Review of Multi-Sensor Fusion SLAM Systems Based on 3D LIDAR. Remote Sensing, 14(12), 2835.

Zhu, K., & Zhang, T. (2021). Deep reinforcement learning based mobile robot navigation: A review. Tsinghua Science and Technology, 26(5), 674-691.

Zhu, Y., Wan Hasan, W. Z., Harun Ramli, H. R., Norsahperi, N. M. H., Mohd Kassim, M. S., & Yao, Y. (2025). Deep Reinforcement Learning of Mobile Robot Navigation in Dynamic Environment: A Review. *Sensors*, *25*(11), 3394.